

Abstract

This presentation describes in detail how mathematical probability is used to investigate the practicability of a proposed metric pertaining to a higher education funding formula model.

Outline

- Proposed Metric
- Implementation
- Example
- Discussion
- Summary
- Q&A

Proposed Metric

Release the set aside for next year iff the proportion of this year's graduates who are **successful** exceeds last year's proportion by more than 0.01 (= 1.0%).

Proposed Metric

Release the set aside for ~~next year~~ year after next iff the proportion of this year's graduates who are successful exceeds $m.78 / (1 - 0.00)$ year's

Proposed Metric

N_i No. of year 4 grads.

G_i No. of year 4 grads enrolled in grad/prof school.

W_i No. of year 4 grads working successfully.

$p_i = (G_i + W_i) / N_i$ Pop. proportion of “successful” year 4 grads.

$p_2 - p_1$ Change in consecutive pop. proportions.

Release the set-aside for year 4 iff $p_2 - p_1 \geq \mu$ r ä.r s

How to Proceed?

$R_i = N_i - G_i$ No. of unknown year grads.

$u_i = W_i / R_i$ Corres. proportion of unknown year grads.

$$W_i = u_i R_i$$

Solution #2: Survey a SRS of the R_i unknown year grads, observe the number of successful grads, estimate W_i by estimating u_i using x_i / n_i .

- Need ~100% response rate, but this seems more attainable here
- Statistical approach which promises to be less expensive.
- Allows one to quantify decision uncertainty.

Solution #2: Probability Results

1. $\hat{u} \equiv \frac{x}{n}$

2.

3.

4.

Solution #2: Probab

5. $\hat{p} \sim \text{Normal}$

6. n —
 s —
 u

7. $p \parallel r z_{\phi t}$

Solution #2: Hypothesis Test

Hypotheses:

$$H_0: \mu_t = \mu_s \text{ dr ä r s}$$

$$H_A: \mu_t \neq \mu_s \text{ r ä r s}$$

Decision Rule: Reject the null hypothesis (i.e., **release the year 4 set aside**) at the approx. α level of significance if

$$Z = \frac{\bar{p}_t - \bar{p}_s}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_t(1-\hat{p}_t)}{n_t} + \frac{\hat{p}_s(1-\hat{p}_s)}{n_s}}} > z_{\alpha/2} \text{ s ä x v w}$$

Solution #2: Steps

1. Learn the no. of year 1 grads:
2. Learn the no. of year 1 grads in grad/prof school:
3. Determine the sample size for the survey of year 1 grads:
4. Survey SRS() of the year 1 grads,...
5. ...follow up, etc., ...
6. ...and compute the estimated proportion of year 1 grads who are “successful”:

Solution #2: Example (cont.)

| | FY2011 | FY2012 | |
|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------|
| N No. graduates | 2,092 | 1,963 | |
| G No. in grad/prof school | 585 | 549 | Assume 28% of N |
| R = N - G Therest | 1,507 | 1,414 | |
| n Sample size | 1,402 | 1,321 | Target m.e. = 0.005 |
| $u = W / R$ | | | |
| X No. successful grads in sample | 1,000 | 975 | For example |
| $\text{est}(u) = x / n$ | 0.7133 | 0.7381 | |
| $\text{est}(p)$ | 0.7934 | 0.8113 | |
| m.e. for p | 0.0045 | 0.0044 | |
| $\text{est}(p_2 - p_1)$ | | 0.0179 | |
| m.e. for $(p_2 - p_1)$ | | 0.0063 | |
| Z | | 2.465* | Release \$\$\$ |

Solution #2: Remarks

1. Straightforward application of basic mathematical statistics and probability theory.
 - Straightforward implementation of the proposed funding formula metric.
 - Provides, additionally, a statement of uncertainty.

2. Show me success!

3. Practic G ìá tp U X € tì ŒãÃ YCPĐÀ 0

3. 0 19.98 -198<00 47a|1 Tf .2e í ™İP – ’ †\$Añ@ ,Q€ Â*%oÀ

Solution #2: Remarks (cont.)

5. The real metric: Release the set aside for **year after next** iff this year's **3 year weighted proportion** of graduates who are **successful** exceeds last year's **3 year weighted proportion** by more than 0.001 (=0.10%).

$$p_u \left\{ \frac{G_s W_s \quad G_t W_t \quad G_u W_u}{N_s \quad N_t \quad N_u} \right.$$

$$p_v \left\{ \frac{G_t W_t \quad G_u W_u \quad G_v W_v}{N_t \quad N_u \quad N_v} \right.$$

Release the set aside for **year 6** iff $p_4 - p_3 \geq \mu$ r ä r.r s

Summary

- Described a (distilled version of a) funding formula metric.
- Motivated and described a solution for implementing this metric, developed from mathematical probability.
- Presented examples.
- Critiqued this solution.


Questions

Ronald D. Thompson, Ph.D.
Sr. Institutional Research Analyst
Institutional Research & Planning
University of Missouri System

thompsonrd@umsystem.edu
(573)884 6674

.960 for 95% confidence (Usually used when reporting a "margin of error.")

.645 for 90% confidence



BACHELOR'S

NOTE:Usez=1
 NOTE:Usez=1
 NOTE:Usez=1

Required
 increase in p
 to satisfy or = 116EV M = 10 \$1#2D0= 108 €0.É

PUBLIC BACCALAUREATE AND
HIGHER DEGREE GRANTING
INSTITUTIONS

| FY12 Total (N) | NSQ per r student cost (\$/student) | NSC Total Cost(\$) | % Going on to Grad or Prof School | No. Going On to PostBacc (G) | No. Potentially Employed (R=N G) |
|----------------|---|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|
|----------------|---|-----------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|



| .960 for 95% confidence (Usually used when reporting a "margin of error.") | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| .645 for 90% confidence | | | | | | | | | | |
| .283 for 80% confidence | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Best prior guess for u (use 0.5 to be maximally conservative) | Optimal Sample Size (n) | Sampling Fraction | Cost Initial Survey (\$) | Total Cost Initial Data Gathering | Response Rate Initial Survey | Size of 1st Follow up Survey | Cost of 1st Follow up (\$) | Total Cost After 1st Follow up (\$) | Response Rate of 1st Follow up | Size of 2nd Follow up |
| 0.50 | | | 6 | | 45% | | 6 | | 60% | |
| 0.50 | 116 | 1.0000 | 696 | 715 | 0.45 | 64 | 384 | 1,099 | 0.60 | 26 |
| 0.50 | 216 | 0.9908 | 1,296 | 1,332 | 0.45 | 119 | 714 | 2,046 | 0.60 | 48 |
| 0.50 | 605 | 0.9711 | 3,630 | 3,734 | 0.45 | 333 | 1,998 | 5,732 | 0.60 | 134 |
| 0.50 | 2,081 | 0.8958 | 12,486 | 12,873 | 0.45 | 1,145 | 6,870 | 19,743 | 0.60 | 458 |
| 0.50 | 747 | 0.9626 | 4,482 | 4,611 | 0.45 | 411 | 2,466 | 7,077 | 0.60 | 165 |
| 0.50 | 498 | 0.9765 | 2,988 | 3,073 | 0.45 | 274 | 1,644 | 4,717 | 0.60 | 110 |
| 0.50 | 795 | 0.9613 | 4,770 | 4,908 | 0.45 | 438 | 2,628 | 7,536 | 0.60 | 176 |
| 0.50 | 1,124 | 0.9445 | 6,744 | 6,942 | 0.45 | 619 | 3,714 | 10,656 | 0.60 | 248 |
| 0.50 | 885 | 0.9557 | 5,310 | 5,464 | 0.45 | 487 | 2,922 | 8,386 | 0.60 | 195 |
| 0.50 | 1,265 | 0.9370 | 7,590 | 7,815 | 0.45 | 696 | 4,176 | 11,991 | 0.60 | 279 |
| 0.50 | 3,319 | 0.8337 | 19,914 | 20,577 | 0.45 | 1,826 | 10,956 | 31,533 | 0.60 | 731 |
| 0.50 | 1,186 | 0.9413 | 7,116 | 7,326 | 0.45 | 653 | 3,918 | 11,244 | 0.60 | 262 |
| 0.50 | 1,321 | 0.9342 | 7,926 | 8,162 | 0.45 | 727 | 4,362 | 12,524 | 0.60 | 291 |